

# Wikipedia: Help desk

## Add topic

Latest comment: [4 minutes ago](#) by Ianmacm in topic [mutliple = multiple](#) in [Elon Musk](#) article

Welcome—ask questions about how to use or edit Wikipedia!  
(*Am I in the right place?*)

- For other types of questions, use the [search box](#), see the [reference desk](#) or [Help:Contents](#). If you have comments about a specific article, use [that article's talk page](#).
- Do **not** provide your email address or any other contact information. Answers will be provided on this page only.
- If your question is about a Wikipedia article, draft article, or other page on Wikipedia, tell us what it is!
- If you are having an issue while editing, [which editor](#) are you using?
- Check back on this page to see if your question has been answered.
- For real-time help, use [our IRC help channel](#), [#wikipedia-en-help](#).
- **New editors** may prefer the [Teahouse](#), a help area for beginners (but please don't ask in both places).

[Ask a question](#) ([https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Help\\_desk&action=edit&section=new](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Help_desk&action=edit&section=new))

**Can't edit this page?** ; a volunteer will visit you there shortly!

[Wikipedia help pages](#)

## What was made first the color orange or the fruit orange

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [9 comments](#) | [8 people in discussion](#)

Because what is there a fruit named the wild bear orange or was orange invented because the human species language today also known as English that's that's an only or mainly in the USA [~2026-38361-32](#) (talk) [01:25, 6 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

TA editor, you could look for an answer in [Orange \(colour\)](#) and [Orange \(fruit\)](#). [TSventon](#) (talk) 01:33, 6 July 2026 (UTC)

This is a help desk for asking questions about Wikipedia, not general questions about anything. However, [Orange \(colour\)](#) § [Etymology](#) answers your question. – [Scyrme](#) (talk/solidarity) 01:35, 6 July 2026 (UTC)

And if it doesn't, try asking at the [Reference Desk on Language](#).—[Odysseus1479](#) 01:47, 6 July 2026 (UTC)

Reading those two articles made me wonder, since "orange" originated from French, if the French word has any French rhyming word, unlike in English. ~[Anachronist](#) (who / me) (talk) 05:47, 9 July 2026 (UTC)

Solange mélange l'étrange orange, puis elle la mange avec les anges. :)

[TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · talk) 22:11, 10 July 2026 (UTC)

poranges.

who sez nothing rhymes with oranges. [~2026-29746-11](#) (talk) 06:14, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

Any made-up word can rhyme with any other word, so that doesn't count. The pair of English words "more range" rhymes with orange, but not any single word in English. ~[Anachronist](#) (who / me) (talk) 21:40, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

It was [Philip Larkin](#) who rhymed 'fangs' with 'meringues' in 'A Study Of Reading Habits' (<https://allpoetry.com/A-Study-Of-Reading-Habits>), although he wasn't the most woke of onanists. [MinorProphet](#) (talk) 19:20, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Template:Subject bar creating whitespace

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#)

[5 comments](#)

[4 people in discussion](#)

See [Stephen, King of England#External links](#). This is occuring on thousands of articles ([https://templatecount.to/olforge.org/index.php?lang=en&namespace=10&name=Subject\\_bar#bottom](https://templatecount.to/olforge.org/index.php?lang=en&namespace=10&name=Subject_bar#bottom)). I tried messing with the sandbox for [Module:Subject bar](#), but can't figure out how to fix it. [~WikiOriginal-9~](#) ([talk](#)) 14:49, 8 July 2026 (UTC)

Hello, [@WikiOriginal-9](#).

I don't know what the problem is you're referring to. I don't see any unreasonable whitespace in that article, either in my browser (Firefox) or on the mobile app. [ColinFine](#) (talk) 15:28, 8 July 2026 (UTC)

There's space above and below the sister projects bar, the one that says "Stephen, King of England at Wikipedia's sister projects". [~WikiOriginal-9~](#) ([talk](#)) 15:38, 8 July 2026 (UTC)

It looks just right to me. Has someone already done something to it?

[TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · talk) 16:19, 8 July 2026 (UTC)

I can't see any excessive white ([#FFFFFF](#)) margin on that section either, on light color scheme. Yes, there are small margins, but that feels like it's rendering as intended for me (with the spacing looks as consistent as other boxes around in the same "External links" section). There is a gray empty space present below the "Stephen, King of England at Wikipedia's sister projects" text on desktop view, but that's for accomodating the vertically spanning projects link at the right side of the sister projects section. [Exploraz](#) (talk) 05:55, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

# I need help with Notes for an article.

Latest comment: [3 days ago](#)

[20 comments](#)

[4 people in discussion](#)

Would someone please give me guidance on using Notes for an article. I have read through the info page but yet they come out full of errors. The article in question for which I need help is [Ewald Meltzer](#). [Sfar13 \(talk\) 16:14, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

What method did you use when you added these notes the first time? [TooManyFingers \(he/him · talk\) 16:17, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I began by using the first one where you put `<ref> . . . </ref>` and , but no text would appear under notes. I tried fixing it by switching method so that the notes appear under the sources reference list. But honestly its all gotten so tangled up I am at my wits end. [Sfar13 \(talk\) 16:27, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I also used Reelist [Sfar13 \(talk\) 16:28, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Also, to show us your code without it doing funny things, pop it into

`<nowiki>code goes here</nowiki>` tags :) [Meadowlark \(talk\) 17:39, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

@[Sfar13](#), do you mean explanatory notes like in [H:NOTES](#)? Could you maybe copy a section you're working on into your sandbox so we can see and help you tinker with it if the [H:NOTES](#) link isn't helpful? [Meadowlark \(talk\) 17:37, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

For sure [User:Sfar13/sandbox](#) [Sfar13 \(talk\) 12:36, 9 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

That looks like it's working to me, assuming you're trying to cite a source. Is that the plan, or are you trying to add something else to the footnote?

[Meadowlark \(talk\) 13:01, 9 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I wanted to add an explanation supported by a reference.

it only seems to work in the sandbox but becomes weird on the article page :/ [Sfar13 \(talk\) 11:40, 10 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I've got it sorted so there's a reference with a footnote, but it sounds like you want the other way around - a footnote that ends with a reference for the info in the footnote? [Meadowlark \(talk\) 07:44, 11 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

. Essentially I just wanted a footnote. Thank you! I am very grateful for the way it is now. I will add this to the article and hope it comes out right. [Sfar13 \(talk\) 19:44, 14 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Awesome! Let me know if you have any trouble, I'd be happy to go mess around with it :) [Meadowlark \(talk\) 04:03, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Why are you putting "nowiki" in your material? That is ruining a lot of things - please stop doing it. (You should use it here at the help desk, but not in an article.)

[TooManyFingers \(he/him · talk\) 17:39, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Visual editor will insert `<nowiki>` tags into text if it is copied and pasted from another application that it can't interpret properly, like Word for example. --

[Reconrabbitt \(talk\) 17:49, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Yes! , that is what must have happened, as i copy-pasted from the Note page when me inputting the code manually did not help. [Sfar13 \(talk\) 18:27, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I did not put in "nowiki" I dont know how this error came to be. [Sfar13 \(talk\) 18:25, 8 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I see that you didn't put it there intentionally. I see that your own Talk page has some complaints that you're doing useful work but that you're

also adding a lot of errors to articles, and apparently leaving your errors for other people to fix.

I think once you sort out what is really causing those errors, you'll be able to keep them from happening in the first place. [TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · talk) 20:21, 8 July 2026 (UTC)

That is not true, I am not leaving my errors to other to fix. I hope this is evident in me commenting on here " please help me fix the errors" hardly shows that attitude. [Sfar13](#) (talk) 12:28, 9 July 2026 (UTC)

I didn't intend to accuse you. I used "apparently" because it has *looked like* that was happening. But "apparently" can be used in different ways, and I should have used a better word. Unfortunately you are giving the outward appearance of leaving a mess for others to clean up, and it is making people justifiably unhappy with you. That's why I emphasize finding the source of this problem, so you can avoid whichever action is causing it. I suspect it's a minor innocuous-looking technical thing that accidentally creates the problem. [TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · talk) 21:51, 10 July 2026 (UTC)

That is certainly how it came across! You are certainly departing from the issue at hand by bringing up a comment on my talk page and treating me with less than good faith in my actions. Do you have any helpful thing to contribute to solving this technical issue ? If not, then I don't know why you are commenting. [Sfar13](#) (talk) 00:48, 11 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Is this a Conflict of Interest?

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#)

[3 comments](#)

[3 people in discussion](#)

Hi! Trying to avoid violating Wikipedia's policy on COI, and wanted to check here (sorry if this is the wrong place). I am an employee at a specific nonprofit that is part of a wider organization, and the article on that wider organization has a number of problems. Would it be a COI to edit that article, since I am employed by a nonprofit under that organization? Technically I am not employed by that organization, but I am still a member of the organization.

It might help to use an illustration for what is and is not COI: Hypothetically, would a Roman Catholic be able to edit the article on the Catholic Church, since they are part of the organization? What about a priest editing the article about their parish? Or about their diocese? Or about the pope? My situation isn't an exact match, but knowing which of these are COI would be helpful and relevant for me. Thank you! [AshWednesdayMyDudes](#) (talk) 17:22, 11 July 2026 (UTC)

Hello, [@AshWednesdayMyDudes](#).

I would say that you certainly have a COI, and probably count as a [paid editor](#) - being employed by a subsidiary is a very different relationship from merely being a member of an associated group.

So you should make a formal declaration (as explained in that link), and then make [{{Edit COI}}](#) requests rather than editing the article (you can use the [Edit request wizard](#)).

Note that

Wikipedia has little interest in what the subject of an article says or wants to say about themselves, or what their associates say about them. Wikipedia is almost exclusively interested in what people who have no connection with the subject, and who have not been prompted or fed information on behalf of the subject, have chosen to publish about the subject in reliable sources. If enough material is cited from independent sources to establish notability, a limited amount of uncontroversial factual information may be added from non-independent sources. So if information you want to add to the article is cited only to the organisation's own publications, it's *much* less likely to get added than if a truly independent source has talked about it. ColinFine (talk) 17:33, 11 July 2026 (UTC)  
In general on Wikipedia, and certainly in this case, if there's an honest question whether someone has a conflict of interest, the answer is almost certain to be "yes, they do".  
TooManyFingers (he/him · talk) 22:49, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Images in Book articles

Latest comment: 4 days ago | 6 comments | 3 people in discussion

Hello all, looking to add a lead image to an article I wrote a few months back, the *Oxford History of Music*. I was thinking an image of one or all of the original 1901–1905 volumes would be fine.

My question: how does copyright work for something like an image of a 100+ year old book? For instance could I pull this image of the series (https://www.abebooks.com/first-edition/Oxford-History-Music-Volumes-III-Woolridge/32229607390/bd), upload it to the commons and then use it? The most recent book in said image is from 1905, but of course the image itself is from the last decade. Aza24 (talk) 19:07, 11 July 2026 (UTC)

@Aza24: The copyright of that image belongs to the photographer, because the composition of the photograph is considered to be creative. If you have access to a library that holds these books, you can take your own photograph. The copyright status of the books themselves is not material in this case. (If the book covers were still in copyright, your photo might be a "derived work"). -Arch dude (talk) 19:33, 11 July 2026 (UTC)

@Arch dude a great explanation, thank you my friend! Aza24 (talk) 19:35, 11 July 2026 (UTC)

@Arch dude and Aza24: I don't think that's completely right. A simple photo of a two-dimensional out-of-copyright image cannot create a new copyright, so assuming that you are OK just showing the cover, rather than the whole book, you can use a photographer's image. Most of our images of "old masters" paintings are taken from the gallery or museum website Jimfbleak - talk to me? 11:11, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

@Jimfbleak: That exception is for a "faithful reproduction of a 2-dimensional object". The work shown here is a picture of a (3-dimensional) set of books, shown against a backdrop, selected and arraigned by the photographer. This meets the threshold for "creativity" as defined by copyright law. This would be true if the books were replaced by wooden blocks, and remains true for these out-of-copyright books. If you take a picture of the out-of-copyright front cover of one book, face on, with no attempt at creative lighting, etc., then your photograph will not have any any creative elements and you will not have a copyright in the image. If that front cover is modern (post-1931 as of 2026) then that cover is still copyrighted even if the contents of the book are not, and your "faithful reproduction" still carries the copyright of the cover art. If you arrange that book on a background and then take a picture, your picture is a "derived work", with both a copyright of the cover art and your copyright for the selection and arrangement, but you have no right to license it for reproduction unless

you separately get a license from the original cover artist. -Arch dude (talk) 15:23, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

@Arch dude:, I thought that was more or less what I was saying?  
**Jimfbleak** - *talk to me?* 05:19, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Films set in Oklahoma

Latest comment: [3 days ago](#) | [3 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

- Links: [Category:Films set in Oklahoma](#), [The Outsiders \(film\)](#)

I want to add the film THE OUTSIDERS to the list, but for some reason I'm unable to make any edits on that site. That's the first time that's happened with me. Can someone help me or explain why there is no way to edit that site.

Thanks. [Vistalane](#) (talk) 12:18, 12 July 2026 (UTC)

[Vistalane](#), [Category:Films set in Oklahoma](#) is a category page. [The Outsiders \(film\)](#) is included in [Category:Films set in Tulsa, Oklahoma](#), so it is already included in "Category:Films set in Oklahoma" and does not need to be added to the larger category.

To add an article to a category you have to edit the article page, not the category page. In this case you would add the code `[[Category:Films set in Oklahoma]]` to the article page. There are instructions at WP:PAGECAT. [TSventon](#) (talk) 12:46, 12 July 2026 (UTC)

[@Vistalane](#) Just to be clear: That's exactly how you *would* add the article to the category "Films set in Oklahoma", but, as mentioned, please don't. [David10244](#) (talk) 05:55, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Conflicting details

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [4 comments](#) | [4 people in discussion](#)

[Jude Bellingham](#)'s Wikipedia page states his mum, Denise is of Afro Jamaican descent but Jude's brother [Jobe Bellingham](#)'s Wikipedia page states his mum, Denise is of Kenyan descent. As both brothers have the same mother, which is correct?[~2026-39532-53](#) (talk) 14:22, 12 July 2026 (UTC)

Hello, [@~2026-39532-53](#).

Looking at the sources cited for the claims in the two articles, I find that the claim of Afro-Jamaican origins for Jude is supported by the citation, whereas the source cited in [Jobe Bellingham](#) does not appear to say anything about their mother.

So I would suggest removing the claim from the Jobe article. It's tempting to make it match the Jude article, citing the source there; but unfortunately the source (Athlon Sports) that verifies the case for Jude does not mention Jobe at all, so it would not be permitted to add in the same claim for Jobe (it would be synthesis).

Possibly the best action would be to look for a source which talks about Jobe's mother, identifying her as such, and use that. [ColinFine](#) (talk) 18:21, 12 July 2026 (UTC)

There is no reason both cannot be correct, they are not contradictory. "[Afro-Jamaicans](#) are Jamaicans of predominantly African descent", and Kenya is in Africa.

The matter is *potentially* (but probably not actually) complicated by the fact that people of Jamaican nationality can be of African, European (usually British: see e.g. Michael Manley), or mixed descent, or of Indian or Chinese descent, and people from Kenya can be the same (see e.g. Richard Leakey), because of colonialism. {The poster formerly known as 87.81.230.195} ~2026-36805-68 (talk) 08:54, 13 July 2026 (UTC)  
It's specifying the country you jerk. ZachScientia (talk) 05:53, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Error I Made On In Season Managers

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#) | [3 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

Can you Please fix The errors i made on the midseason GM Manager Please I Would be Glad If You Please Fix It Now. ~2026-39471-07 (talk) 22:20, 12 July 2026 (UTC)

Should be fixed. All that was wrong was that you used |Title instead of |title. These parameter names are case sensitive. You seem to have an odd typing style Where You Capitalise Most Words. That's not doing you any favours! Mike Turnbull (talk) 14:04, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

@Michael D. Turnbull This can sometimes happen with certain devices, especially mobile phones from non-English speaking countries, and is not always easy to change. Electricmemory (talk) *In solidarity* 23:03, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Converting a redirect into an article and cleaning up afterwards

Latest comment: [5 days ago](#) | [3 comments](#) | [2 people in discussion](#)

The Giant house spider also known as *Eratigena atrica* was considered a single species until 2018 and then split into three, one of which is still called *E. atrica*. The other two now have their own species pages. "Eratigena atrica" currently redirects to Giant house spider. I am making a species page for narrow-sense *E. atrica*, leaving the original page as an overall discussion of giant house spiders (most people interested in them will not know which species they have, as the species can't be told apart without a microscope).

I am making a draft in my sandbox. Do I copy it onto the redirect page? Move it there? Are there cleanup steps I need to take? Are there likely to be other Wikipedia pages that point at "E. atrica" and may need to be changed to point at Giant house spider, and if so, how do I find them?

Sorry if this is a lot of basic questions, but I've never done this before and don't want to make a mess. **M kuhner** (talk) 01:38, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

@M kuhner It looks like there are only five current incoming links ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Special:WhatLinksHere/Eratigena\\_atrica](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Special:WhatLinksHere/Eratigena_atrica)) to *Eratigena atrica*, so manual cleanup presumably shouldn't be an issue. You *can* create the article by pasting over the redirect, but there are some other options too.

It looks like the redirect only has a trivial edit history so you could also tag it with `{{db-move}}` with intent to move your draft over it, or ask for an undraft at [WP:RM/TR](#). This has the advantage of listing you as page creator, which admittedly doesn't matter too much but it's nice for some automated statistics tools. ScalarFactor (talk) 02:32, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

Thank you! That's exactly what I needed. [M kuhner](#) (talk) [19:23, 13 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## Visited link color

Latest comment: [5 days ago](#) | [3 comments](#) | [2 people in discussion](#)

It used to be the case that any link I visited would change color from blue to purple. But recently it's been behaving differently—only certain links change color. If I click on a category on the bottom of a page the color changes. But if I click on a link within the main part of the article, it stays blue. How can I fix this so that it behaves the way it used to? [Zuckyd1](#) (talk) [03:22, 13 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@Zuckyd1](#) You are using iOS or Safari Desktop. Safari/WebKit has a bug that causes this (and has had the bug for 20 years). Recently wikipedia changed something about how the links are generated. This seems to have triggered that bug to become visible. The bug has since been fixed in Safari, but this is of course going to take months to trickle down to users. —[TheDJ](#) (talk • contribs) [05:54, 13 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Yup, Safari Desktop. Thanks for the explanation! [Zuckyd1](#) (talk) [13:46, 13 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## How do I tell how popular an article is?

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#) | [5 comments](#) | [4 people in discussion](#)

How do I tell how popular a article is? [Isabellaisthebest123](#) (talk) [13:35, 13 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@Isabellaisthebest123](#) The WP:Wikipedia Signpost/2026-07-13/Traffic report in each edition of [Signpost](#) gives the most-viewed articles each week. In general, you can navigate to an article and use the "Tools" menu to look at "Page information", which includes a link to "Page view statistics" at the bottom. That can also be used to compare articles. [Mike Turnbull](#) (talk) [13:54, 13 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@Isabellaisthebest123](#): You are using the mobile version which has a reduced interface to save screen space. I don't know whether you can navigate to page views in the mobile version but you can click "Desktop view" at the bottom of an article. There the Tools menu may be on an icon ⋮ at the top right. You can also click "View history" next to the icon and then "Pageviews" at the top. That's the common way. [PrimeHunter](#) (talk) [15:10, 13 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

By the way, turning on "Advanced mode" in [Special:MobileOptions](#) gives the ⋮ "Tools" menu on mobile that includes the "Page information" button, similar to the one on desktop, but without relying on hard-to-use desktop mode on mobile. I think that option only shows up when logged in (not sure about temporary accounts), which in this case you should already be logged in. Advanced mode also enables additional features, such as desktop-style history page, and etc. [Exploraz](#) (talk) [05:01, 14 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[You can also click "View history" next to the icon and then "Pageviews" at the top](#)

Actually that "Pageviews" link to the wmfcloud site only exists on non-"Minerva" skins (e.g. after enabling desktop mode). [Exploraz](#) (talk) [05:10, 14 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## Sketch map help

Latest comment: [5 days ago](#)

| [3 comments](#)

| [2 people in discussion](#)

Could you please put me in touch with an editor who could produce a reasonably accurate plan of a motor racing hillclimb course ([Goodwood Festival of Speed](#)) as I cannot find an adequate one on Commons or Geograph and I'm not sure my drawing skills are up to it. It could be a much-simplified version of this (<https://www.goodwood.com/motorsport/festival-of-speed/explore/event-map/>) or I could even overlay a diagram on an OS map that I have as a starter. Thank you! I have asked this at the reference desk as well. **[Tony Holkham](#)** [\(Talk\)](#) 14:00, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

@[Tony Holkham](#) We have a [graphics workshop](#) where you can make a request. The editors there are very helpful. [Mike Turnbull](#) [\(talk\)](#) 14:21, 13 July 2026 (UTC)  
I've just (re-)discovered that. Thanks! **[Tony Holkham](#)** [\(Talk\)](#) 14:22, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## could i undo all my edits?

Latest comment: [5 days ago](#)

| [4 comments](#)

| [4 people in discussion](#)

my friend has done a bunch of edits to get me banned, [~2026-39559-48](#) [\(talk\)](#) 15:16, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

Your edits have already been reverted. Please tell your friend to stop vandalising Wikipedia- you will otherwise be blocked. [qcne](#) [\(talk\)](#) 15:22, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

Hello, @[~2026-39559-48](#).

If you have a problem with your troublesome friend using the same device as you (so you end up sharing this temporary account), you could avoid it by [Creating an account](#). This is free, and as long as you don't share your password with your friend, they can't make edits that look as if they come from you. [ColinFine](#) [\(talk\)](#) 16:08, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

If you do create an account, please remember to log out of Wikipedia on your device before giving your friend access to it. [Certes](#) [\(talk\)](#) 17:01, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Create redirect or pipe hyperlink?

Latest comment: [5 days ago](#)

| [2 comments](#)

| [2 people in discussion](#)

I'm going back to editing the list of [Old World Oases](#) on the Oasis page and I was wondering how I should handle the name hyperlinks, since they're mostly red. For example, [Ferkane](#) does have an article, but there is no mention of the oasis in it, so should create a redirect for Ferkane Oasis -> Ferkane, just pipe Ferkane Oasis -> Ferkane, leave it as a red link, or something else? My thought for redirecting is that the link would work now, and if someone were to want to create an article for Ferkane Oasis in the future, the redirect would no longer point to Ferkane. Piping would simply leave the article pointing to Ferkane and not Ferkane Oasis, and I feel it would be hard to detect that the article points to the incorrect location. If it shouldn't be a redirect, then answer

this next question too. [Ghadames](#) is a town in Libya, but it's article specifically calls it an "oasis town", so should there be a redirect for Ghadames Oasis -> Ghadames? I currently have it set as a pipe. [ಫಯಸೊಯೂಃಈ](#) (talk) 19:04, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

If another article describes the oasis then I would link to it via a redirect. If there is no description but the oasis is a notable topic which deserves an article then it deserves a red link. If the oasis is not described elsewhere and is not notable then I wouldn't link it at all: we have no information for the reader and don't want any added. This opinion isn't based on any policy, and other editors may disagree. [Certes](#) (talk) 19:14, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## How to properly make a page redirection.

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [4 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

I need help on making a page redirection to the Mitchell Beazley article because it's okay too and it's more formal name that is beneficial to link to the Mitchell Beazley article, I also need it to finish another different article that I'm currently making. [ZachScientia](#) (talk) 20:06, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

There is a wikipedia page that helps with making redirects. [Wikipedia:Redirect\\_maker](#). Simply put in the name of what you're redirecting from into the text box on the page, and then in the [[ ]] put in Mitchell Beazley. [ಫಯಸೊಯೂಃಈ](#) (talk) 20:13, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

By the way, I have an issue with [Wikipedia:Help\\_desk#redirect icon menu does not work today](#). [Wisdood](#) (talk) 11:50, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

I used it, it didn't work, I checked if I'm going anything wrong, I seems I didn't, I'm still confused if I have done something or some things wrong, and I missed something.

[ZachScientia](#) (talk) 05:43, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

I used it, it didn't work, I checked if

---

## Section headers are thick now, why and how to remove?

Latest comment: [3 days ago](#) | [7 comments](#) | [4 people in discussion](#)

Here is a sample I uploaded of the weird section headers (on this page and others) coupled with the latest comment and comment counter that were not there before: <https://www.pasteboard.co/oJHYbi9i6S0b.png> How do I remove this? [Ilov3gam3z](#) (talk) 20:53, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

I'm seeing this too and I'm guessing it's a formatting change that's beyond our control, at least for this skin. [331dot](#) (talk) 20:58, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

Yeah. This bolding is kind of hard to read, not going to lie. Very distracting. [Ilov3gam3z](#) (talk) 20:59, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

[@Ilov3gam3z@331dot](#) It's opt-outable in preferences. I will note that this change had been in beta testing since 2023 with (apparently) broad support from enwiki editors (whether to believe the WMF on that or not is anyone's guess).

[Electricmemory](#) (talk) *In solidarity* 23:01, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

There should have been at least *some* warning. (or opt-in for established editors, IMO) People don't keep up with a beta feature that has been in dev for 3 years, you know. Honestly, this strikes me as similar to the Image Carousel debacle. [Ilov3gam3z](#) (talk) 23:10, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

I agree for sure, don't think this has gotten the level of pushback of the Image Carousel incident though. Standard WMF behavior unfortunately.  
Electricmemory (talk) *In solidarity* 00:51, 15 July 2026 (UTC)  
It's an unexpected and unrequested improvement by WMF. Instructions on how to turn it off are here. Certes (talk) 22:05, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Trans Global Highway

Latest comment: 4 days ago

| 4 comments

| 3 people in discussion

I added information on the Trans Global Highway to the article Intercontinental and transoceanic fixed links: Revision history. The Trans Global Highway is a major project that was proposed and popularized over 35 years ago. In the past, perhaps 10 years ago, the Trans Global Highway had its own page and in fact was listed on this page. Some one removed information on the project referring to it as "self promotion". Self promotion from two Corporations after 35 years?!!!

Many of the terms used on this page were originally from the Trans Global Highway proposal, long before the Trans Global Highway Development Corporation was formed (by ETNYC Meridian Corporation). Terms that Wikipedia uses frequently such as "Amer-Asian Peace Tunnel," "Amer-Asian Friendship Tunnel," "Eur-African Friendship Tunnel," and "Hokkaido-Sakhalin Long Tunnel" were all coined in the original 20-35 year old proposal by Didik. Further, some of the maps used by Wikipedia comes from his early proposal.

There was a dis-grunted "associate" who apparently made it his mission to erase all references to the Trans Global Highway, which explains why it is not listed still, as it should be,

The Trans Global Project is the only project listed that is real and in the works. The Wikipedia community and people at large who use Wikipedia for sure would want to know about the Trans Global Highway project.

This is what I put on the site and truthfully it should be placed back on the site immediately:

**Collapsed for brevity. —Tenryuu (  •  ) 00:41, 14 July 2026 (UTC)**

--NYCLang (talk) 23:08, 13 July 2026 (UTC)

@NYCLang: Please discuss this on the listicle's talk page at Talk:Intercontinental and transoceanic fixed links. —Tenryuu  (  •  ) 00:43, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Hello, NYCLang

It is a normal and expected part of a collaborative project like Wikipedia that different editors will often disagree about what should go into an article. If somebody reverts your edit, your next step (unless you decide to leave it) should almost always be to open a discussion with that other editor (and any other editors who may chip in), usually on the article's talk page. The collective goal should be to reach consensus - even if the decision is not exactly what any of the participants would have chosen.

Please see BRD for a description of the process. --ColinFine (talk) 17:53, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Navigation pop-up shows wrong article?

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#)

[3 comments](#)

[3 people in discussion](#)

Unsure where to ask about this, but there's this weird technical issue going on with an article I worked on some time ago. When I mouse over [AF/91](#), the pop-up shows the [Great Seal of the United States](#) with the text "Also known as **European Recovery Program**.", referring to the [Marshall Plan](#). The article is about a fake computer virus that was made up as a joke in 1991, which could be farther from that topic, but not by much. I can't find anything that might be doing this when I edit the article in visual or source, nor can I see any suspicious edits in the history that might have caused it, so... [AdoTang \(talk\) 00:17, 14 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@AdoTang](#) On my PC with Edge browser, the hover-over for [AF/91](#) in this thread is I would expect: just the first textual part. I use [WP:Tools/Navigation popups](#) so may be seeing something different to you. If the problem persists for you, try posting at [WP:VPT](#) with full details including the device/browser and skin you are using. [Mike Turnbull \(talk\) 09:45, 14 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@AdoTang](#): Your heading sounds like it's about "Navigation popups" at [Special:Preferences#mw-prefsection-gadgets](#) so I guess you saw that name but the issue is in a similar feature at [Special:Preferences#mw-prefsection-rendering](#) called [Page Previews](#) (previously [Hovercards](#)). [Navigation popups](#) makes the popup text client side but [Page Previews](#) makes it server side so this issue would affect everybody. I haven't found the cause. [PrimeHunter \(talk\) 12:44, 14 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## Referencing errors on Stovekraft

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#)

[8 comments](#)

[4 people in discussion](#)

[Special:Diff/1364015368](#)

The bot has detected in correcting a typo that in "Stovekraft" article there is some WP rule about "generic name" use in references is not used and should be removed. I do not know anything about this process. Can someone look into it.

Thanks, [~2026-32542-29 \(talk\) 00:29, 14 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@~2026-32542-29](#): The reference said `|last=Business |first=FE` but those parameters are for the last and first name of a person. A process correctly guessed that "Business" was not the last name of a person but a word for something else. The source says "Written by [FE Business \(https://www.financialexpress.com/author/fe-business/\)](#)" with no individuals named. I have changed the code to `author=(( FE Business ))` where `author` allows a group of people, and the double parantheses mean this value should really be displayed without making an error message. [PrimeHunter \(talk\) 02:08, 14 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

That is what I thought needed to be done but there is no way I was about to change it myself as it is a far more complicated change than what I would want to do on my own and just sticking to spelling errors. It is difficult enough attempting to figure out if what hits come up on a string search is an internet address, a published typo or the work of an editor. This type of experience will in likelihood be so infrequent I just will not feel comfortable doing myself. thank you. [~2026-32542-29 \(talk\) 02:23, 14 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

The newly fixed reference raises a more important matter. The reference serves to confirm the way the Wikipedia article calls a factory "a dedicated state of the art manufacturing plant". But

as I look in "[Stove Kraft enters into partnership with IKEA to develop, supply cookware to be sold through IKEA's network \(https://www.financialexpress.com/business/industry-stove-kraft-enters-into-partnership-with-ikea-to-develop-supply-cookware-to-be-sold-through-ikeas-network-3695249/\)](https://www.financialexpress.com/business/industry-stove-kraft-enters-into-partnership-with-ikea-to-develop-supply-cookware-to-be-sold-through-ikeas-network-3695249/)" (which incidentally calls the company "Stove Kraft", not "Stovekraft"), I don't notice any talk of dedicatedness or state-of-the-artistry. What am I missing? ([PrimeHunter?](#)) -- [Hoary](#) ([talk](#))<sup>WWU</sup> 🍌 02:16, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

All I can comment about the situation is that there was a spelling error, it was presumably fixed only to reveal the coding needed to change to WP rules and I just was not comfortable changing it on my own as the coding is a whole different world than addressing spelling errors. To followup on your observation I would not pursue it any further but if you know what needs to be done to clarify it then obviously take the lead.

Otherwise since it existed without a concern to anyone I imagine it just could continue as is although it would be best for the article to reflect what is more true than otherwise. But thank you for bringing it up.~2026-32542-29 ([talk](#)) 02:29, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

I've cut "dedicated state of the art". (I hope that there aren't also other such exaggerations, but I'm in no mood to check.) -- [Hoary](#) ([talk](#))<sup>WWU</sup> 🍌 03:38, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

This article has primarily been created and updated by a series of single-purpose accounts, a very significant proportion of which have subsequently been blocked (and a few more could maybe use a block too). The UPE who created the article in the first place (blocked since) seems sort of angelic compared to some of the later contributors.

[TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · [talk](#)) 05:03, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Somehow, [TooManyFingers](#), I am not surprised to read this. -- [Hoary](#) ([talk](#))<sup>WWU</sup> 🍌 07:20, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## About redirects to example.com

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#) | [1 comment](#) | [1 person in discussion](#)

[example.com](#)

1. I noticed that the [Example domain](#) redirect does not have any [redirect categories](#) applied to it. I'm pretty sure that it'll include {{R from alternative name}}, but I'm not sure about the [printworthiness](#) of it, and whether other categories needs to be added.
2. Should a redirect from [Example Domain](#) to [example.com](#) be created? I know that the [search](#) feature did a best-effort (partial) letter case matching if an exact title match cannot be found (and thus falling back to text search if case-insensitive title match can't be found), however should that redirect be created anyway, and what redirect categories should be added (printworthy or not)?

[Exploraz](#) ([talk](#)) 01:02, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## looking at Monet paintings

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#) | [4 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

I want to look at claude monet's paintings. for some reason they are being blocked. what can I do to get them unblocked? is donating more money a way to get it un-blocked? ~2026-39802-72 ([talk](#)) 02:25, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Donations are unrelated to reading or editing Wikipedia. I guess you mean [List of paintings by Claude Monet](#). It's affected by a new limit of 1,250 images per article, and the software currently reacts in a poor way when the limit is hit. There should have been links to the images but there are red upload links with no navigation to the images. I have reported this at [phab:T430854#12087375](#). Here is a little trick which works for now but don't tell everyone: Add `?useparsoid=0` to the end of the url. I'm not making it a link because I don't want a lot of people and web crawlers to follow such a link and stress the servers. [PrimeHunter](#) (talk) 02:57, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

[Add ?useparsoid=0 to the end of the url](#)

Alternatively, there is a dedicated "Switch to legacy parser" button/link that does the exact same thing. It's located in either the ⋮ "Tools" menu on desktop (near "View history"), or at the right side of the default "Vector 2022" desktop skin/interface (the latter by default if logged in, or I think if I remembered it correctly, also when a temporary account is created and used when adding that comment). [Exploraz](#) (talk) 06:10, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

@~2026-39802-72: See my above post. [PrimeHunter](#) (talk) 02:59, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Unusual text spacing will not correct

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#)

| [7 comments](#)

| [3 people in discussion](#)

On article "Hank Sullivant" do string search in edit phase to see: " s ummer " and " solo was a ". I came across it while addressing spelling errors in WP that was included with the hits on " s ummer ". It doesnt show in read phase but when you go into edit phase it shows up. I would attempt to correct it but it jams my computer. Maybe its a forced paragraph keystroke? There may be others but cannot view the entire article as it jams my computer. Anyone come across something like this or just want to take a crack at it? [~2026-32542-29](#) (talk) 02:37, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Can you tell us what browser and operating system you are using? I can't reproduce this here (Firefox/Ubuntu Linux). [M kuhner](#) (talk) 02:54, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

What is browser or operating system. Ive heard of them but have not paid particular attention to the need to remember. [~2026-32542-29](#) (talk) 03:15, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Well, the problem seems fixed, but for future: Browser is what you are using to reach the web, like Firefox, Chrome, or Explorer. Operating system is what you are using to run the computer, like Windows, Linux, or MacOS. (Or maybe you are on a phone?

In which case, the question would be Android vs. iPhone.) [M kuhner](#) (talk) 03:43, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

@~2026-32542-29: The source text actually had 32,767 spaces in "s ummer" but multiple spaces in HTML only render as one space when a page is viewed. I have fixed it. As a programmer I recognize 32,767 as  $2^{15} - 1$  so some software issue must have been involved when Yxngtrxl made those spaces in [1] ([https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hank\\_Sullivant&diff=prev&oldid=1312250934](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Hank_Sullivant&diff=prev&oldid=1312250934)). [PrimeHunter](#) (talk) 03:19, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

I saw two instances of the spaces so hopefully both corrected? [~2026-32542-29](#) (talk) 03:29, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

I also removed hundreds of spaces in "solo was a". [PrimeHunter](#) (talk) 03:36, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Need Help With Editing a Page: Tallest Buildings in VA

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#)

[6 comments](#)

[2 people in discussion](#)

Hi there -

New to Wikipedia - was hoping someone could help update the Tallest Buildings in VA page? It currently lists the JW Marriott as being under construction, but this building is now completed. I can provide sources if needed, but am in need of help updating the page. TIA! [~2026-39719-76](#) (talk) 16:21, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

[@~2026-39719-76](#) Can you provide the source for that ? Appreciated, [.nhals8](#) 16:31, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Yes! Here are a few:

<https://www.ffxnow.com/2025/09/17/marriott-opens-new-reston-station-hotel/>

<https://ir.comstockcompanies.com/news/news-details/2025/Comstock-Announces-Grand-Opening-JW-Marriott-Reston-Station-Redefining-Hospitality/default.aspx>

Hotel site - <https://www.marriott.com/en-us/hotels/wasrj-jw-marriott-reston-station/overview/>

[~2026-39719-76](#) (talk) 16:42, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

✓ **Done** see position no. 27 in the list. thank you for spotting the change and help update the list ! [.nhals8](#) 16:54, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

ping [@~2026-39719-76](#) [.nhals8](#) 16:55, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Appreciate your help so much!! [~2026-39719-76](#) (talk) 16:57, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## namaskar

Latest comment: [2 days ago](#)

[8 comments](#)

[7 people in discussion](#)

Ram haaje ke samankar keli Seta gaje he pandir ne? [~2026-39814-09](#) (talk) 16:32, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

[@~2026-39814-09](#) Google translated as "What is Ram doing over there with Seta?". I've no idea what the answer might be.... [Mike Turnbull](#) (talk) 17:28, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

I got this at <https://translate.google.com>: "Has the Pandit played the game of Rama's house?" A little later I got this: "Playing like Rama's hajj Has the priest given the Seth's hajj?" Then I included "namaskar" and got his: "Greetings Rama is like playing. Seta, has the Pandit given the gift?". I think human translators are still needed for a while.

[PrimeHunter](#) (talk) 19:51, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

This sounds to me like possibly another Adam's Bridge rename post. See that article's talk page for context if not familiar. [Electricmemory](#) (talk) *In solidarity* 00:48, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

[@~2026-39814-09](#) This is a ENGLISH wikipedia talk page. Please use a translator if you are not good or can't speak English before adding a question. [Ksb0218](#) (talk) 07:34, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

Communicating via automatic translation can be messy. It may be better to suggest they ask their question over on the appropriate language's Wikipedia, such as the [Hindi Wikipedia](#) ([हिन्दी विकिपीडिया](#)). The community there may be more helpful in answering their question, and some of them may be able to help translate on their behalf if this relates to an issue specially here on the English Wikipedia. – [Scyrme](#) (talk/solidarity) 16:52, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

(fwiw, I don't think that's Hindi, because I can't make heads or tails of it; either that or my Hindi's really gotten rusty) [Athanelar](#) (talk) 17:30, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

(You're probably right. I wasn't sure about the language either. I hedged my guess a bit by prefacing with "such as". It'd be easier to narrow it down if it

were in the native script. If they return, maybe they can let us know which language they speak.) – [Scyrme](#) (talk/solidarity) 21:53, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Page update help please

Latest comment: [3 days ago](#) | [4 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gary\\_Muir#](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gary_Muir#)

Hi to whoever comes across this I'm trying to edit the wiki page that is myself in the link above which would benefit me for work. I had tried to add info but it made the page untidy. If you could help add the attached below it would be much appreciated. [~2026-39612-83](#) (talk) 16:44, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

## Please add stats for attached profile

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gary\\_Muir#](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gary_Muir#)

clubs13 [[Darvel F.C. Darvell] caps13 = 36 1 goals13 = 5 1 years13 = 2019-2020 | clubs14 = [[St.Cadocs F.C

St. Cadocs youth club]] caps14 = 82 • goals14 = 24 years14 = 2020-2026 [~2026-39612-83](#) (talk) 16:46, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Hello, @[~2026-39612-83](#).

Two questions:

1. What is your [reliable published source](#) for the information you want to add?
2. What do you mean by "would benefit me for work"? Does it mean that you are Gary Muir? If so, you have a [conflict of interest](#). You should make this clear, and should not be editing the article about you directly, but should instead use the [edit request wizard](#) to raise a {{COI edit}}. Please see WP:AUTOPROB.

[ColinFine](#) (talk) 18:05, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Yes, he says "the wiki page that is myself" which I interpret to mean he is indeed editing the article that is about him. [David10244](#) (talk) 06:44, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Coordinates

Latest comment: [4 days ago](#) | [3 comments](#) | [2 people in discussion](#)

Is there any way to get coordinates from [this map](https://data-gis.unep-wcmc.org/portal/apps/mapviewer/index.html?layers=f98e179ec3f448e59dfe9bda248ff4b6&layerId=2) (<https://data-gis.unep-wcmc.org/portal/apps/mapviewer/index.html?layers=f98e179ec3f448e59dfe9bda248ff4b6&layerId=2>)? For example [Adelaide Island](#) has an unsourced area in the infobox. On the linked map it's given as an island without a name and while the unique shape means it's easy to identify (I'm not adding it because it's not actually named) there are plenty of islands that are not so easy to figure out. Thanks. [CambridgeBayWeather](#) (#1 deranged), [Uqaqatigijaa](#) (talk), [Huliva](#) 17:18, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Location button on the bottom right opens up a coord dialog where the coords can be copied and/or examined. You can also input a set of coords. [scope\\_creep](#)<sup>Talk</sup> 20:27, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

Thanks. I completely missed that button. [CambridgeBayWeather](#) (#1 deranged), [Uqaqatigijaa](#) (talk), [Huliva](#) 21:15, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Article renaming

Latest comment: [3 days ago](#)

| 9 comments

| 3 people in discussion

Hi Folks!! Found this: [Hospitalism](#) which was an paediatric diagnosis in the 1930's. There was another hospitalism, a crisis of ideas in the UK/Europe in the 1860's. Would it be normal to rename to something like Hospitalism (1930's) and create another article as Hospitalism (1860's). They are totally distinct and have nothing to do with each other. I'd assume a disambiguation would be needed. Seems to pushing the boat out a wee bit when you think about it, but they are totally different and different centuries as well. [scope\\_creep](#)<sup>Talk</sup> 20:37, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

That sounds reasonable, I would suggest writing a draft about the 1860s hospitalism, then you could decide whether it needs its own article and if there is a primary topic. If an article is needed and there is no primary topic, then 1860s and 1930s (no apostrophes) are possible disambiguators, but more descriptive disambiguators would be better, if possible. [TSventon](#) (talk) 21:17, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

@TSventon: I think there isn't any primary topic as they are both of their period. Certainly I can supply better descriptors, which I never thought of, which is a good idea. I think that ideal. Thanks. [scope\\_creep](#)<sup>Talk</sup> 21:56, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

@Scope creep: on second thoughts "19th century" and "20th century" might be better disambiguators than "1860s" and "1930s". Obviously articles can be moved, so you don't have to find the perfect name before writing the article. [TSventon](#) (talk) 00:00, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

@Scope creep: "1930s" is definitely far too narrow a disambiguator for the article currently at [Hospitalism](#) since that article notes the term was in use into the 40s and predates the 30s.

Looking at sources to see if there's a primary topic I noticed three topics:

- a dated synonym or hypernym for Hospital-acquired infection (ie. nosocomial or iatrogenic disease), relating to increased mortality among patients at hospitals; this seems to be oldest sense. (eg. [2] (<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/1937586720931058>))
- the diagnosis discussed at [Hospitalism](#), which seems to have originated as an extension of the older sense. (eg. [3] (<https://academic.oup.com/shm/article/32/4/799/4838041>))
- the "hospitalist model", a recent movement/trend/specialism/pattern of practice in medicine (eg. [4] (<https://shmpublications.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/jhm.578>), [5] (<https://www.bmj.com/content/391/bmj.r2522>), [6] ([https://www.obgyn.theclinics.com/article/S0889-8545\(15\)00048-0/abstract](https://www.obgyn.theclinics.com/article/S0889-8545(15)00048-0/abstract)))

However, I wouldn't characterise any of these as a "crisis of ideas", so I'm unsure which topic you are proposing to write an article about.

Regardless, when I filter Google Scholar results for publications from after 2010, most seem to be for hospitalist model, however I'm not sure this means it's **more**

likely [to be what a reader is searching for] than all the other topics **combined**" (WP:PTOPIC). I'm also not sure any of these topics could be said to have "substantially greater enduring notability and educational value than any other topic associated with that term". I'm inclined to agree there's no primary topic based on what I've seen, but *maybe* it could be argued that the oldest sense would have greater enduring notability and educational value, given that investigations as to the cause of "hospitalism" in this sense has shaped modern medicine. If it is the primary topic, maybe Hospitalism should be a redirect to Hospital-acquired infection with a hatnote at that article linking to the other topics with articles. A proper discussion would be warranted.

I'd suggest you write a draft for your new article and submit it via WP:AfC. If the draft is accepted as an article, then you can start an WP:RM at Talk:Hospitalism to move that article to another title and free up Hospitalism for a disambiguation page or redirect or whatever the outcome of the consensus of the discussion determines to be the best solution for the title Hospitalism. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 00:49, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

If the article currently at Hospitalism does end up needing to be moved to free up its title for something else, I'd suggest moving the article to Hospitalism (pediatric diagnosis) or the shorter Hospitalism (pediatrics). Neither a decade or century would be accurate as a disambiguation. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 01:06, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

Could be 3rd category in the modern period. The Rene Spitz article is the current article. You found it. The Hospitalism crisis started in mid-later 1860's and was a response to large hospitals due to size, location and overcrowding producing lots of diseases producing 4 septic diseases en-masse e.g. gangrene and no one known what caused it. It was coined by James Young Simpson. It was a couple of decades between 1860 and 1880 when a whole load of ideas about the causes e.g Spontaneous generation were discussed, before the Germ theory of disease became predominant. It was assumed that hospitals should be destroyed and replaced with small infirmaries with perhaps 5 or 6 small rooms to reduce infection, but folks had no clue. Anyway, both have merit but I see that 3rd seems to be genuine movement and will likely get an article at some point. I find it hard to define the hospitalism 1860 without putting a sentence in the name. I was going to suggest Hospitalism (pediatric diagnosis) for the former as it fits well. scope creep<sup>Talk</sup> — Preceding undated comment added 04:26, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

@Scope creep: How about Hospitalism (public health) or Hospitalism (health crisis)? I lean towards "public health", as the topic has enduring notability as one of the many contemporaneous issues which shaped the development of the field of public health, so I think it makes sense to distinguish it as a topic in (the history of) public health. Either title would work though. I suppose the best choice depends on whether the article focuses more on the causes of the problem itself or on the responses to the problem.

Alternatively, instead of an entire new article, a subsection could be added to Hospital-acquired infection § History, which would avoid the need for a uniquely disambiguated article title for the topic.

If you intend to write a lot about the topic, then a separate article would be better as otherwise adding an enormous amount to Hospital-acquired infection § History would overwhelm the material already there. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) 13:26, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

@Scyrme: Funny, I was thinking that this morning. It is a public health crisis so that very close and an ideal fit. I plan to do a separate article, although I didn't see that Hospital-acquired infection. Its the wrong place, different domain, the infection process as opposed to the response to the infection process, i.e. intellectual discussion. Yea, totally agree. Thanks folks!!. scope\_creep<sup>Talk</sup> 14:35, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## New AfD process?

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 4 comments | 3 people in discussion

I've placed many an article up for AfD over the years but haven't in some time. I just went to place this article Bootlegger, Vol. 1 up for Afd and it came out all crazy Wikipedia:Articles for deletion/Bootlegger, Vol. 1. Obviously WP has changed their process and I need to relearn it. What did I do wrong? ~ (talk) 20:59, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

@Maineartists: I guess you saw code with FULLPAGENAME and manually replaced it with the article title but you were not supposed to touch it. PrimeHunter (talk) 21:18, 14 July 2026 (UTC)  
Yeah. There's a lot going on there now that wasn't there before. Much simpler before; not so much now. I'll learn. Thanks. Maineartists (talk) 21:28, 14 July 2026 (UTC)  
Much easier to just install Twinkle (or something like it). It's easy peasy. Clarityfiend (talk) 23:47, 14 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Articles

Latest comment: 3 days ago | 2 comments | 2 people in discussion

How can I get an article about myself published? Davyjm80 (talk) 01:32, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

You won't, on Wikipedia. This isn't social media. If we have an article about an individual, it is required to be based on independent published reliable sources, and to provide evidence of meeting our notability requirements. Such articles are *not* under the control of the subject, who would have a conflict of interest, and should not under most circumstances be editing the article directly. If you want to write about yourself, find somewhere else to do so. AndyTheGrump (talk) 02:50, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Category:Abandoned shopping malls in the United States

Latest comment: 2 days ago | 3 comments | 3 people in discussion

What's the general difference between Category:Abandoned shopping malls in the United States and Category:Defunct shopping malls in the United States? They seem similar categories with the former being less populated and for malls that may or may not have been demolished. Chuterix (talk) 03:05, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

Chuterix, those categories are part of a hierarchy. Category:Defunct shopping malls in the United States is a higher level category that has two subcategories, which are Category:Abandoned shopping malls in the United States and Category:Demolished shopping malls in the United States. The next higher level is Category:Shopping malls in the United States, and the level above that is Category:Shopping malls. So, the further down you go in the hierarchy of categories, the narrower and more specific the definition is. [Cullen328 \(talk\) 03:45, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@Cullen328](#) When I read the first half of this question, I could not imagine what the two categories were underneath "defunct". But "abandoned" and "demolished" surely covers it! [David10244 \(talk\) 05:41, 16 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## The Minx (1969 film)

Latest comment: [3 days ago](#) | [6 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

need to fix Interlanguage links

[The Minx \(1969 film\)](#) = [nl:The Minx](#)

[The Minx](#)  ≠  [cy:The Minx](#)

[Xo4v \(talk\) 07:00, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Hi Xo4v. You should be able to add these links yourself. Just click on "Add links" right beneath "Languages" in the left sidebar and add the links. If you're not sure how to do this, there's some guidance given in [Help:Interlanguage links](#). -- [Marchjuly \(talk\) 07:49, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

The Wikidata items for [The Minx \(1969 film\)](#) and [nl:The Minx](#) were for the same film so I merged them. [TSventon \(talk\) 12:08, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

how did you do it ? [Xo4v \(talk\) 12:19, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I used a gadget on Wikidata. [d:Help:Merge](#) explains merging on Wikidata and how to use the gadget. If you are not familiar with Wikidata it is probably better to request a merge at [d:Wikidata:Project chat](#). [TSventon \(talk\) 12:35, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[Xo4v](#), I see that you created [The Minx \(1969 film\)](#) today and [The Minx](#) was created in 2007 about a 2007 film, changed to a redirect in 2024 and changed to a disambiguation page by you today.

I suggest that the current [The Minx](#) page should be made a redirect again and moved to [The Minx \(2007 film\)](#), then [The Minx \(1969 film\)](#) should be moved to [The Minx](#). What do you think? [TSventon \(talk\) 12:43, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## SUB CATEGORY NA

Latest comment: [3 days ago](#) | [2 comments](#) | [2 people in discussion](#)

NA [~2026-39966-49 \(talk\) 14:37, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@~2026-39966-49](#): If you have a question then please be more specific and link any involved page. [PrimeHunter \(talk\) 16:38, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

# How to make a source reliable?

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#)

[19 comments](#)

[7 people in discussion](#)

- Link: [Talk:Cologne Cathedral#Hohe Domkirche Sankt Petrus](#)

I have an email from a good source that would help fix a wrong information in Wikipedia. I know that an email is not an RS, so what can I do to make this information available in a reliable (in the RS sense) way?

It's about the *official name* of the Cologne Cathedral, see my history for details. [~2026-37064-08 \(talk\) 17:29, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Emails will never be usable sources due to them not being (1) formally published and (2) subject to editorial oversight. —[Jéské Couriano](#) <sup>v</sup><sup>^</sup><sup>v</sup> Object Class: Drygioni [18:39, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I know that, and I am doing *the opposite* of suggesting that it should be accepted as an RS. However the false information should be fixed. How? [~2026-37064-08 \(talk\) 18:44, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@~2026-37064-08](#): You would have to find a reliable source that corroborates what you've been told. Reading WP:PRIMARYCARE, it seems like if the Cologne Cathedral stated their official name in some published manner, that could work, though I leave that to be determined by editors more versed in primary/secondary source evaluation. —[Tenryuu](#) □ ( □ • □ ) [19:33, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Actually I don't understand your (2). Whose oversight? Wikipedia's or some external body? [~2026-37064-08 \(talk\) 18:45, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

"Editorial oversight" means that the outlet does not just publish whatever the authors write, they send it to an editor who reviews the content before publishing. All legitimate news outlets have editors that review content before publishing or broadcasting it. [331dot \(talk\) 19:07, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Ok, understood and agreed, thanks. [~2026-37064-08 \(talk\) 20:38, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

(edit conflict) Anybody's. Unless otherwise specified, emails from individuals are assumed to have no intermediaries between the sender and the receiver; that is, the sender usually doesn't pass it to a second party to be fact-checked and so forth before sending it. (There may be *after-the-fact* oversight, as in "You wrote *what*? You're fired", and that actually does have value, but now we're getting down into the weeds.)

However, just like a blog post, an email *could* be considered reliable if the writer is so expert and so trustworthy that we may be entirely confident of her statement. But unlike a blog post, there's no way that we can prove that the sender is who she says she is *to the reader*. A reader has to be able to access the source, be it a book, website, video, or whatever, without egregiously unreasonable effort. That's not possible with an unpublished source.

But let me congratulate you on your intrepid energy here. You are doing exactly the right thing, and I've done it myself: step one is to determine if a datum is *actually* true, and if it is, then cast about for supporting sources that we can use in the article.

Duck-duck-go is your friend here, just google on "Hohe Domkirche Sankt Petrus". Right off I get [this \(https://germanculture.com.ua/travel-to-germany/cologne-cathedral-kolner-dom/#google\\_vignette\)](https://germanculture.com.ua/travel-to-germany/cologne-cathedral-kolner-dom/#google_vignette) (reliable? I don't know) with "Cologne Cathedral (Kölner Dom, officially Hohe Domkirche Sankt Petrus) is..." But then I do note that on

the German wikipedia "Hohe Domkirche Sankt Petrus" is a redirect to the article Kölner Dom ([https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/K%C3%B6lner\\_Dom](https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/K%C3%B6lner_Dom)) which has "Der Kölner Dom (offiziell Hohe Domkirche zu Köln[1]) ist...". So on the merits, I guess more work might need to be done, possibly ending up with "some sources say this, some sources say that...." (After all, the Dom may be just be repeating what he's always assumed; few single sources are always 100% slam dunk, when there are other possibilities.) But here's where I get off. Herostratus (talk) 19:35, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

Thank you for your kind words, I have to disagree though. In most cases, conflicting sources might lead to a "some sources say..." situation, however this is about the "official name", and no amount of newspaper articles can take that away from the owners and keepers of the cathedral.

The German article uses the same source, the cathedral's website's imprint. The more I think about this, the weaker I think it is. This is a legal requirement pointing out a responsible party for a web publication, and it's certainly not implied that associated buildings share that name. ~2026-37064-08 (talk) 20:48, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

I think there might be a couple of larger problems behind this: First, at least on English Wikipedia, a 100%-certified correct official name is of little importance, and the everyday colloquial name is the one that counts. Second, if there is a traditional official name, then it would take greater evidence - perhaps some signed and dated "We have officially changed the name of our centuries-old cathedral" announcements - to successfully get an older name taken down. TooManyFingers (he/him · talk) 14:17, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

I don't claim that the official name is more than exactly that, the official name. The importance of that factoid is debatable, but I think it should be mentioned.

However, I don't think that the information given should be false. In other words, I would prefer an article with no information to one with false information.

Incidentally, I live in the area, and I just made an informal poll with eight people: Nobody recognized the official name. In that sense, just "Dom" would be a better name around here. ~2026-37064-08 (talk) 14:40, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

I see that you have been having similar discussions on de Wikipedia, including de:Diskussion:Kölner Dom#Hohe Domkirche Sankt Petrus. Both names are sourced to current websites, so they are both used. It has been suggested that both names be included, both on the de talk page and on Talk:Cologne Cathedral#Hohe Domkirche Sankt Petrus. TSventon (talk) 15:00, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

I felt that the responses on de were unfriendly and arrogant, and I no longer take part in that debate.

However, that point was implicitly raised on en, and I disagree. I don't see how there could be more than one "official name". Adding both would mean that WP is 50% false, and I would prefer to remove both until the matter is resolved.

I also think that "I found words on a website, so that's the building's name" is almost as useless of a source as my initial "dudes, I has mail".

~2026-37064-08 (talk) 15:41, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

A website made by a publisher with paid fact-checking staff and a good reputation (a reputation they could lose by stupid mistakes) is just as good a source as a properly published paper book. TooManyFingers (he/him · talk) 00:30, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

Not my point. The problem is that the website does not present this as the building's "official name". ~2026-37064-08 (talk) 03:17, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

To get the other name deleted, when there is good evidence that that other name is still being accepted as official, I think you would need written evidence of church officials explicitly rejecting that other name and saying that the name you're

proposing is the only one that exists. [TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · [talk](#)) [00:38, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I'm not sure what you mean by "accepted as official". They don't deny entry if you can't name the secret official name or anything. As I wrote above, the official name is almost never heard of around Cologne, and I wonder if even cab drivers would know it. [~2026-37064-08](#) ([talk](#)) [03:22, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Do you have solid proof that the church officially rejects the other name you're trying to replace? [TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · [talk](#)) [06:04, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

You are asking me to prove a negative.

Fact 1: "...zu Köln" is the name of the building's owner, a legal person.

Fact 2: The imprint *must* contain the name of a legal or natural person, and *cannot* only contain the name of a building.

Conclusion: The imprint adds **no** weight to the claim that "...zu Köln" is the building's name. None.

So there is no source supporting "...zu Köln". The diocese's website, while inconclusive, points towards "...St. Peter".

[~2026-37064-08](#) ([talk](#)) [17:06, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## some other topic

Latest comment: [2 days ago](#)

[3 comments](#)

[3 people in discussion](#)

Is it possible to search Wikipedia and generate a list of solutions. As examples, could I generate a list of US general in World War II with birth and death dates or a list of basilicas in France with GPS coordinates? If so, what is the procedure? [~2026-32400-04](#) ([talk](#)) [18:37, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Hello, [@~2026-32400-04](#).

It is possible to run database queries against the Wikipedia database - I have never used this, so I don't know whether it will do what you want.

Alternatively, *if the relevant articles have been categorised* in ways that are relevant to your query, then you should be able to use [petscan](#) to find articles. But that does depend on somebody having categorised them. [ColinFine](#) ([talk](#)) [19:38, 15 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@~2026-32400-04](#), it is possible to run queries on Wikidata, which is a sister project to Wikipedia, but I don't know much about them. There is some information at [d:Wikidata:SPARQL query service](#). For example the Wikidata item [Carl Andrew Spaatz \(Q579115\)](#) records that Spaatz was American, a general, he served in World War II and his dates of birth and death, but other items may be less complete. [TSventon](#) ([talk](#)) [13:26, 16 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## Interlanguage link for (almost) nonexistent EN article

Latest comment: [2 days ago](#)

[9 comments](#)

[4 people in discussion](#)

I want to create an interlanguage {{ill}} entry to leave a red **Kaag** text so someone creates the missing EN article for the dutch sailboat type, but with an article target page named "Kaal (Ship)" as there is already an entry for [Kaal](#) in the EN Wikipedia for a city.

None of the following worked:

- This points to the city article (which exists in Wikipedia EN): `{{ill|Kaag|nl|Kaag (schip)}}` and appears as "**Kaag**"
- This shows the target page to create, but messes the text: `{{ill|Kaag (Ship)|nl|Kaag (schip)}}` and results in: "**Kaag (Ship)**"
- In desperation, tried putting the non existent target article in double square brackets, but the `{{ill}}` template does not like it, so `{{ill|[[Kaag (Ship)|kaag]]|nl|Kaag (schip)}}` appears as "**[[kaag]]**"

I've tried to find a solution in [Help:Interlanguage links](#), but it escapes me.

Thanks for the help!

[Jp1008](#) (talk) 19:08, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

[Jp1008](#), there are examples at `{{Interlanguage link}}`, I think the relevant one is `{{ill|Grünbein (Argentine town)|es|Grünbein|lt=Grünbein}}`, showing as [Grünbein](#). [TSventon](#) (talk) 19:17, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

The customised version is `{{ill|Kaag (ship)|nl|Kaag (schip)|lt=Kaag}}`, showing as [Kaag](#). [TSventon](#) (talk) 19:55, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

It should be lowercase "ship". [PrimeHunter](#) (talk) 21:24, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

True, I have fixed my text. Probably "kaag" should be lower case as well. [TSventon](#) (talk) 21:31, 15 July 2026 (UTC)

Thanks to both! [Jp1008](#) (talk) 04:26, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

@[Jp1008](#): *kaag* sounds very similar to *cog*. Are these broadly similar? In which case a redirect could suffice. [Mjroots](#) (talk) 07:01, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

@[Jp1008](#): they appear to be related but, according to the articles the *cog*, *nl:kogge*, was medieval and the *nl:kaag (schip)* was later. [TSventon](#) (talk) 11:46, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

You are correct @[TSventon](#)[TSventon](#). Did a quick research on their differences which you can find [here](https://juanpedro.craft.me/dAZWpwoYWMp3EW) (<https://juanpedro.craft.me/dAZWpwoYWMp3EW>). Not my contribution focus, so if someone wants to create the *kaag* article, godspeed!

[Jp1008](#) (talk) 18:57, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Between Amer and Brit English about "manufacturer"?

Latest comment: [2 days ago](#)

| 2 comments

| 2 people in discussion

Sorry to inconvenience. So that i do not get confused is there a spelling difference? Thank you. [~2026-32542-29](#) (talk) 02:33, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

No spelling difference, same in all varieties of English, so you won't see anything like a faux French "manoufactureur". There's only a difference in how it's pronounced (Brits tend to drop the final "r"). [~Anachronist](#) (who / me) (talk) 05:05, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Image view bug

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [5 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

Hey, I'm encountering a bug that appears when I'm viewing images and clicking the arrow buttons to move through them. Let's say I click the arrow five times in a row; the bug causes me to return to previous images while cycling through all the images that were already scrolled past. This keeps happening on every page. [Morris \(talk with this worm\)](#) 04:53, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

[@Morrisworm](#) Yes, it loops. so If there are five images on the page, and you page the arrow five times, it will move you to the first image again. —[TheDJ \(talk • contribs\)](#) 15:10, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

[@Morrisworm](#): I'm not sure which situation you refer to. Please always be specific when you report something and give an example if it looks like a general issue. If you think an image returns too soon then link an affected page, say which image you first click on and which image returns. If the article displays the same image multiple times then you may see it at each location when you scroll through the images. For example in [Iowa](#) where the flag and seal are both in the infobox and the following "State symbols of Iowa" sidebar. If you just mean that the images start over when you have seen all of them then it's normal. That's the situation [TheDJ](#) refers to. [PrimeHunter \(talk\)](#) 15:40, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

[@PrimeHunter](#), this isn't limited to one specific page or one type of page, it happens consistently, across every article, every time. And to clarify, this is not the same thing [@TheDJ](#) is describing; I'm already aware of that one.

What I'm describing is different: suppose an article has 10 images in its image viewer. If I scroll forward through 5 of them and then stop, the viewer snaps back to the very first image instead of staying on the 5th (not exactly "snaps back", sometime, because it also gets stuck into loop between those 5 images). It behaves like a stretched spring being released. i am not entirely sure if this bug or some other issue. [Morris \(talk with this worm\)](#) 22:41, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

[@Morrisworm](#): We have millions of articles. You haven't examined all of them and I haven't found one where it happens for me. When somebody is trying to help you and they ask for an example, **GIVE AN EXAMPLE**. Also name your browser and device because it's probably specific to your circumstances. And try to bypass your cache on an affected page. Use Ctrl+F5 in a Windows browser, not F5 or the reload button alone. Does it also happen if you log out? When I click the first image in [Wikipedia](#) I see 1/23 in the upper right corner. When I keep clicking the right arrow, it shows each image one time up to 23/23, and then goes back to 1/23 as expected. [PrimeHunter \(talk\)](#) 23:30, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Serif font for headings

Latest comment: [2 days ago](#) | [2 comments](#) | [2 people in discussion](#)

Can one of you fine folks please set me up with a custom css that returns the section headings to use a serif font, in articles and Talk pages?

I have read the discussions on this. I don't want to turn all of the "improvements" off -- the horizontal line is OK above the heading, and the "latest comment 5 minutes ago" line is also OK. But I really hate the sans serif font on the section headings.

I would appreciate it if someone would make me a CSS file to "fix" this. And install it in my "profile". :-)

Thank you! [David10244](#) (talk) 05:36, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

See [Wikipedia:Village\\_pump\\_\(technical\)#Typeface\\_in\\_discussion\\_headings](#) [AxG](#) / [✉](#) 15:47, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Namaskar

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [4 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

i upload question 2 days ago in hindi same title(namaskar) Is there trouble understanding my hindi? i can use translator to ask questions Ram haaje ke samankar keli Seta gaje he pandir ne, bharat dosti marjo he... [~2026-39836-85](#) (talk) 05:39, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

That query has had 7 responses so far. The trouble is not in translating your Hindi (probably few if anybody answering here actually *speaks* Hindi). The problems are that:

(1) the question itself has no context with which to understand it (who the h\*\*\* are 'Ram' and 'Seta'? Soap Opera characters?);

(2) this Help desk is for asking questions about how to edit or use *Wikipedia*, not for factual questions; and

(3) it *looks* (though might not *be*) very much like other deliberately obscure or meaningless questions that vandals and other abusers frequently post here to waste our time. {The poster formerly known as 87.81.230.195} [~2026-36805-68](#) (talk) 12:40, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

"Ram" and "S[ i ]ta" are mythological character, as far as google says. There is even a page ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rama#:~:text=Rama%20responds%20to%20public%20gossip%20by%20renouncing%20his%20wife%20and%20asking%20her%20to%20prov%20her%20chastity%20in%20front%20of%20Agni%20\(fire\).%20She%20does%20and%20passes%20the%20test.%20Rama%20and%20Sita%20live%20happily%20together%20in%20Ayodhya%2C](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rama#:~:text=Rama%20responds%20to%20public%20gossip%20by%20renouncing%20his%20wife%20and%20asking%20her%20to%20prov%20her%20chastity%20in%20front%20of%20Agni%20(fire).%20She%20does%20and%20passes%20the%20test.%20Rama%20and%20Sita%20live%20happily%20together%20in%20Ayodhya%2C)) for it on Wikipedia. @ [~2026-39836-85](#). [Morris](#) (talk with this worm) 23:18, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

I was being sarcstic about Ram and Seta. My points remain that the question without context is not comprehensible, this is the wrong forum for factual questions, and it *looks* like our chains are being jerked. Let's not throw food under the bridge, eh? {The poster formerly known as 87.81.230.195} [~2026-36805-68](#) (talk) 13:20, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

# Are there any other ways to save a citation for websites that block archive crawlers?

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [6 comments](#) | [4 people in discussion](#)

Hi, I understand that some news websites (<https://www.niemanlab.org/2026/01/news-publishers-limit-internet-archive-access-due-to-ai-scraping-concerns/>) block the Internet Archive Wayback Machine (or any other on-demand archive sites) from saving a copy of their articles. Are there any other ways to preserve these online sources so they remain usable as references for Wikipedia articles? Thank you! SyFuel<sup>Ignite</sup> Burned [06:38, 16 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Hello, @Syfuel.

I don't know the answer for sure, but I doubt it, because storing and making available a copy of a website without permission from the copyright owner would normally be copyright infringement, and it is not permitted to link to a copyright violation.

Note, however, that unless the source is *only* on the web, a URL is not an essential part of a citation. As long as there is sufficient bibliographic information to allow an interested reader to find and get a copy of the source (eg by ordering a copy from a major library), that is enough. It's the information like author, title, date, publication, publisher, that matters: a URL is just a convenience for the reader. ColinFine (talk) [14:54, 16 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Hi, @ColinFine! Thanks for the feedback. Yeah, the issue I'm having is with news websites or publishers that are increasingly becoming web-only. For some older newspapers, I can still rely on their archives because they usually include standard information such as the publication date and page number. But with web-only sources, I'm trying to understand whether there are any reliable ways to prevent or mitigate link rot when they don't allow external archiving crawlers. SyFuel<sup>Ignite</sup> Burned [00:52, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

It seems to me that the reason they don't allow crawlers is exactly to make your proposal impossible. I can't see why else they would do it. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) [01:42, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

@Syfuel: Have you tried archiving with Ghost Archive (<https://ghostarchive.org/>) or Megaolodon.jp (<http://Megalodon.jp>)? These sometimes succeed in archiving live webpages when the Wayback Machine is unable to. There are instructions for both of these alternatives at Wikipedia:Archiving a source. – Scyrme (talk/solidarity) [02:52, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

@Scyrme Thank you! Yup, I actually tried to archive this link (<https://www.xtra.com.my/dari-taipei-ke-be-like-water-ini-perjalanan-22-tahun-siti-nurhaliza-menaklukkan-muzik-mandarin/>) using both GhostArchive and Megalodon previously, but neither of them worked. :( But yes, I've had the experience where some other links can be archived with either of these two when WayBack Machine can't. SyFuel<sup>Ignite</sup> Burned [03:21, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## Obtaining acceptance of non-promotional editorial content.

Latest comment: [16 hours ago](#) | [15 comments](#) | [5 people in discussion](#)

Hello. I am writing about Alternate Concepts. I am a paid communications consultant who ranks Alternate Concepts, aka ACI, among my longstanding clients, with a relationship dating back to 2006. By way of background, I am a former print journalist (1987-1999) with several awards earned, including investigative reporting recognition in two states. I am leading an effort to provide Wiki users with a fact-based record of

ACI's work at the intersection of public transit and private sector. It strikes me that more transparency regarding a private operator of public transit is important, and that is the spirit that was used in revising the text that was previously in place until last week. Please note that I aggregated and inserted citations for the content with full intent of adhering to Wiki policies. Last week, an editor named TonySt - [User:TonySt](#) - deleted all of the content after publication, claiming it was promotional. Via the 'Talk' function, I was able to communicate with TonySt to better understand the requirements, including disclosure on my page of conflict of interest about my work with ACI. Also, i spent a week carefully line editing the text to remove any adjectives or words that could not be supported by citations in the public record. Because ACI manages public services and/or provides support for a variety of public entities, I was exceptionally careful to cite records that are verifiable and linked to cites. The text sets forth the scope of contracts that ACI holds or held in the service of public transit. The claim that such content is 'promotional' defies credulity. It is not presented for the purpose of obtaining contracts, a process that is strictly managed by way of state and federal bid regulations (under the shroud of secrecy, it should be mentioned.) It's sole purpose is to educate users about the nature of the services that ACI provides to the public via government entities. With respect, TonySt undid all of the additional edits yesterday without comment under the claim of promotional with a conflict of interest. This is frustrating because there has been no communication as to the offense committed, i.e., what text is considered promotional at this point? Is disclosure of a COI not enough, i.e. is more verbiage needed? I set forth these issues in the best spirit possible - transparency. Considering the importance of public transit to humankind, it would seem self-evident that private operators of transit systems would be encouraged to set forth their background, experience, current and former contracts, etc. Of course, the text is editable by all Wiki editors, which would allow for more edits as needed. However, to delete all of the content seems directly in contrast to the stated mission of the Wikimedia Foundation to **empower and engage people around the world** to collect and develop educational content under a free license. The edited Alternate Concepts page is strictly educational and factual. I respectfully submit it merits to be available to people of the world. [ScottDFarmelant](#) (talk) 18:07, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

**It's sole purpose is to educate users about the nature of the services that ACI provides** Wikipedia considers this to be "promotion" within our scope of that word. Promotion is anything designed to bring attention to a subject. A Wikipedia article should generally be a completely neutral and detached summary of the information available in reliable, independent sources completely unaffiliated with and uninfluenced by the subject of the article. I would suggest you read [WP:YESPROMO](#) and [WP:PAIDADVICE](#). [Athanelar](#) (talk) 19:45, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

This is a standard that's incredibly difficult to understand in 21st century vernacular. How does sharing information bring attention to it? This content will not be publicized. It will not be distributed. It is as neutral and detached as humanly possible within the framework of an expert (me) who has tracked the company's work for 20+ years. With respect, I can provide reliable independent sources to verify all content and remove ACI website content - but lordy, this is upside down thinking. ACI will not profit from the publication of a Wiki page. It will not benefit from publication other than to have accurate, verifiable info in the public domain. Much different than the tens of thousands of public figures who are featured on the site, etc. [ScottDFarmelant](#) (talk) 20:12, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

**ACI will not profit from the publication of a Wiki page. It will not benefit from publication other than to have accurate, verifiable info in the public domain** And if that wasn't a benefit to them, why would they be paying you to do it as part of their marketing/PR strategy? The Wikipedia article about them is the second google search result after their own website; that's a pretty major SEO boon. [Athanelar](#) (talk) 04:43, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

The 'stub' page that's been in place for years is inaccurate, and the company's leadership asked me to lead an effort to have content that on point, verifiable,

etc. They don't benefit from SEO because they don't sell a product. Their credibility with public transportation authorities is gained or lost on the basis of highly technical documents that are submitted via publicly advertised Request for Qualifications (RFQ) and Request for Proposals (RFP) legal advertisements for rail and transit operations and maintenance services. Read more [here](http://www.responsive.io/blog/request-for-qualifications) (<http://www.responsive.io/blog/request-for-qualifications>) to learn general information about the RFQ process and [here](#) for the RFP process.

[ScottDFarmelant](#) (talk) 13:46, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

@[ScottDFarmelant](#), I will reply here as well as on your talk page. I have not edited Alternate Concepts in more than one week. Your edits were undone by another editor yesterday because they felt they were **promotional edits**, as you can see in the [page history](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Alternate_Concepts&action=history) ([https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Alternate\\_Concepts&action=history](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Alternate_Concepts&action=history)) of the article. My name is included in the edit summary because they changed it back to how it was after I edited it on July 7. [TonySt](#) 20:27, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

Thank you TonySt. It remains confusing as to why this is considered promotional as COI has been published, all adjectives removed, all cites inserted from 3rd party verifiable and trustworthy resources. ACI is an unusual entity because it provides the public with a service yet doesn't own the underlying assets that serve the public. Key point is there's nothing to be gained by accurate content other than public education and understanding of the services they use and rely upon to access work, school, healthcare, R&R, etc.

[ScottDFarmelant](#) (talk) 20:31, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

Promotional means what audience it's for, not what style it's in. If you make it so that customers and investors come away stymied because they found none of what they wanted to know, that's non-promotional.

Another way to put this: Only write what will be required learning for a history class in the year 2365. [TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · talk) 21:12, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

A whole different way of putting it: Wanting to make the public aware that these services are available is the very definition of promotion. The attempted fixes you described above are merely the difference between nice promotion and not-nice promotion. [TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · talk) 22:01, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

Neither the current version of the article, nor [ScottDFarmelant](#)'s much longer promotional versions, answers two obvious questions:

1. What does the company actually do? Own trains? Run trains on behalf of their owner, employing train drivers etc.? Do the timetabling for trains? Something else?
2. Why does it have such a silly name?

[Maproom](#) (talk) 07:11, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

This is the second sentence of the page, and clearly states exactly what ACI does for public transit authorities:

**ACI provides transportation operations, coordination, consulting and maintenance services to multi-modal public authorities that own light rail, subway, streetcar, rapid transit, commuter rail, and bus networks** across the United States.

Great question on the name, and there is an answer but there's no citation available! It reflects the company's origin to help secure financing for public transit authorities from private sources to fund infrastructure growth. That model obviously changed over time to a focus on operations and maintenance contracts. [ScottDFarmelant](#) (talk) 13:40, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

@[ScottDFarmelant](#) Please clearly define the following terms: "transport operations", "coordination", "consulting" [TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · talk) 19:13, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

For example, delivering biscuits is a transport operation, improving guitar technique is coordination, and dress-code advice is consulting, but I'm pretty sure those aren't what you meant. [TooManyFingers](#) (*he/him* · talk) 05:01, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

See also [WP:SOLUTIONS](#). [Athanelar](#) (talk) 05:07, 18 July 2026 (UTC)  
I *think* the answer is along the lines of owning and maintaining the rolling stock for a number of relatively small rail lines, hopefully making it more economical for those lines to operate than if they each bought their own. [TooManyFingers](#) ([he/him](#) · [talk](#)) 19:11, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Israel Discount Bank

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [4 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

I was falsely accused by adding text generated by LLM, without reviewing it properly. I want to know how to contest the reviewers' claims [עומר תשובי](#) (talk) 18:24, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

On your user page, it's necessary for you to reveal exactly who is paying you (and also who has paid you in the past) - each one of them. You can't put "freelance".

It's silly under the circumstances to talk about contesting your obvious LLM use. I recommend that you not waste your time and energy on a battle you're certain to lose. [TooManyFingers](#) ([he/him](#) · [talk](#)) 21:29, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

I havnt found a template fit for it. [@TooManyFingers](#) [עומר תשובי](#) (talk) 08:01, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

You don't need to use a template. You can just type out a statement. You need to be specific about who your clients are. You also are required to link to any websites where you offer your services. Please review [WP:PAID](#) carefully. [331dot](#) (talk) 08:49, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Downloading a PDG

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [4 comments](#) | [4 people in discussion](#)

I had been downloading in a pdf format articles of interest but now there is no tool to do that. Have you stopped allowing that? [Etalbot631](#) (talk) 19:43, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

If you switch to the Vector 2010 legacy skin, you will have the download link gain. [Ruslik](#) [Zero](#) 20:20, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

It's also in the three dots menu to the right of the "view history" link on Vector 2022, or in some cases in a tools sidebar to the right of the article in question. Are you not seeing that link or is it not working? - [Purplewowie](#)s (talk) 21:21, 16 July 2026 (UTC)

Apparently some of the menu entries were missing for a short while, but it should be fixed now. See [Wikipedia:Village pump \(technical\)#Wikidata link missing from sidebar](#). -- [John of Reading](#) (talk) 09:30, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

# Unsourced NBA-player claims and apparent COI at Kyle Julius

Latest comment: [8 hours ago](#)

[2 comments](#)

[2 people in discussion](#)

The Personal life section of [Kyle Julius](#) names several NBA players (Wiggins, Olynyk, Bennett, Pangos) as people the subject trained, along with figures like "over 100 professionals." The only sourcing I can find is sites reproducing his own coaching résumé plus his social media. Per [WP:BLPSELF PUB](#), self-published material shouldn't support contentious claims about other living people, so I intend to remove those names. Bargnani is the exception, as there's a 2012 Globe and Mail article covering a training stint, so I'll keep that one cited. The related issue is that parts of the article read as promotional and appear lifted from self-authored bios ([WP:COI](#)), though the subject is clearly notable. Before I start editing, is [WP:COIN](#) the right venue for the COI side, or is tagging and cleanup on the article itself the better first step? [Jackdawss \(talk\) 03:28, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

I think you should be able to just do the tagging and cleanup yourself. COIN is for when other solutions have failed. [SomeoneDreaming \(talk\) 13:28, 18 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

## Renaming a page

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#)

[6 comments](#)

[3 people in discussion](#)

I have been editing [Pavilion Gallery Museum](#) and realized that the title is misleading. The article itself is about the Assiniboine Park Pavilion, of which the museum is a part. The museum itself takes up only a fraction of the article. How do I go about renaming the page? And is that something I'm even allowed to do?? [IfShrimpHadABarbie \(talk\) 13:59, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Hello, [@IfShrimpHadABarbie](#).

You change the title of a page by [moving](#) the page. And yes, you are allowed to do this.

As with any other edit, if somebody disagrees they could move the article back; and if you think the move might be contentious, it would be a good idea to discuss it on the article's talk page first.

But from my quick look at the article, it looks like a sensible move.

If you move it, the software will leave the original title as a [redirect](#) pointing to the new title - which seems ideal to me. [ColinFine \(talk\) 17:10, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Awesome - thank you! [IfShrimpHadABarbie \(talk\) 17:32, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

Okay, this has gotten strange.

I tried to do a move from [Pavilion Gallery Museum](#) to Assiniboine Park Pavilion, only to discover that this page already existed! Blank, but in existence nonetheless. And more than that, it had a redirect on it to Pavilion Gallery Museum.

So, what I did was remove the redirect from Assiniboine Park Pavilion and then copied and pasted the content from Pavilion Gallery Museum. We now have a page with content that is correctly named. Huzzah!

The problem now is that Pavilion Gallery Museum won't accept a redirect and it's out there in the world with no content on it. Halp! I feel like that page should be deleted now, but this is not something I can do.

Suggestions? [IfShrimpHadABarbie \(talk\) 17:59, 17 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[IfShrimpHadABarbie](#) please don't do cut and paste moves, they make it difficult to track the authorship of articles, I have restored the redirect. You can request that the article is moved at [WP:Requested moves/Technical requests](#), both pages have

history, so probably a "round robin" move is needed. Helpers at RMTR may want you to start a move discussion first. TSventon (talk) 18:29, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

Thank you - I'll reach out via the link you provided. IfShrimpHadABarbie (talk) 19:53, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Non-free video game screenshot usage

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [1 comment](#) | [1 person in discussion](#)

Hello, I was directed to come here instead of asking on the non-free image talk page (context: [Special:Diff/1364576709](#))

Basically, I uploaded a non-free image of a video game screenshot [File:Barbie\\_Riding\\_Club\\_screenshot\\_horses.jpg](#) + added rationale to use it [Barbie Riding Club](#) article. But in retrospect, the screenshot I originally uploaded is perhaps only *borderline* compliant with "contextual significance" rule [WP:NFC#CS](#) because, while it shows some gameplay that is discussed in the article (i.e., horses in the stable), the bulk of the sources in the article discuss feeding and riding horses. It's also just not very interesting, honestly.

Anyway, my questions here:

1. I have removed the original screenshot from the article & nominated it for deletion using [Template:Db-g7](#) — isn't that the right move? Did I miss any steps?
2. Now, if I were to instead use a screenshot showing feeding a horse or riding a horse, do you all think that complies with "contextual significance" requirement? If not, could you share what you think *would* comply?
3. Any other caveats I should know about?

Thank you for your help — [Chao Garden](#) ~ [say hello](#) 15:43, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Editing a page

Latest comment: [1 day ago](#) | [3 comments](#) | [2 people in discussion](#)

Hi, I edited a page of my former band. [Pulse Ultra](#) with more detailed info. The page has reverted to previous version. how do i go about keeping my edits there. i made the edits on July 16th, 2026. [Special:Contributions/~2026-40127-79](#)

Thx. [~2026-40127-79](#) (talk) 16:59, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

Hello, [@~2026-40127-79](#).

If you are a former member of [Pulse Ultra](#) then you have a [conflict of interest](#), and should not be editing the article directly.

Your edit was reverted because you did not provide any sources.

A Wikipedia article should be a neutral summary of what the majority of people who are wholly [unconnected](#) with the subject have independently chosen to publish about the subject in [reliable publications](#), (see [Golden rule](#)) and not much else. [What you know](#) (or anybody else knows)

about the subject is not relevant except where it can be verified from a reliable published source.

You can use the edit request wizard to make a request, and then somebody uninvolved will decide what to do with your request. But unless you cite independent reliable sources for any information you wish to add (see WP:42) it is unlikely that your suggestion will be implemented. The same applies to Headspace (Pulse Ultra album). ColinFine (talk) 17:18, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

Hi,

ok I didn't know.

I will use edit wizard.

Thx. ~2026-40127-79 (talk) 17:21, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Mariah Carey Sugar Sweet

Latest comment: 1 day ago

| 4 comments

| 3 people in discussion

Songwriter Credit I am requesting a correction to the songwriter credit for “Sugar Sweet”. The songwriter listed as Patrick Charles currently links to or identifies a different individual. I am the Patrick Charles who co-wrote the song, specifically contributing to Shenseea’s verse. I respectfully request that the credit be updated to accurately reflect the correct songwriter or, if necessary, that the existing link to the unrelated Patrick Charles be removed until the correct attribution can be made. I can provide supporting documentation verifying my contribution to the work, including professional registration information and other documentation confirming my authorship. Thank you for your time and for helping ensure the accuracy of Wikipedia’s information.

~2026-40220-16 (talk) 20:18, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

Hello, @~2026-40220-16.

The name "Patrick Charles" appears nowhere in the article Sugar Sweet. Are you referring to a different article? ColinFine (talk) 20:29, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

Possibly the album Here for It All. [[Street Life (rapper)|Patrick Charles]] was added here (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Here\_for\_It\_All&diff=prev&oldid=1313514787) by Sock. TSventon (talk) 20:37, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

I have simply removed the link from Here for It All, @~2026-40220-16, since the attribution is unsourced anyway.

If at some point somebody decides to write an article about this Patrick Charles, and determines that he meets Wikipedia's criteria for notability and so is able to write the article, then the link can be reinstated to that article. ColinFine (talk) 21:28, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Everything Under the Sun (Nickelback album)

Latest comment: 21 hours ago

| 6 comments

| 2 people in discussion

I tried to copy the footnote on Everything Under the Sun (Nickelback album) from Nickelback discography, but I think it did not work. Can someone please assist in this regard? --Jax 0677 (talk) 22:41, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

Where are you trying to put it? TooManyFingers (*he/him* · talk) 22:48, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

Same place it went in Nickelback discography. --Jax 0677 (talk) 22:51, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

You probably think you've said what you're trying to do, but you haven't quite. I'm not a mind reader. What task are you trying to do, and for which footnote?

TooManyFingers (*he/him* · *talk*) 23:29, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

The reference was corrupt to begin with, so I removed it entirely. Issue resolved. --Jax 0677 (*talk*) 23:31, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

I'm glad you found the real problem. TooManyFingers (*he/him* · *talk*) 23:53, 17 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Nz Maori indigenous

Latest comment: 16 hours ago

| 3 comments

| 3 people in discussion

how can Maori in new zealand be titled as indigenous when they migrated in canoes from Asia the word indigenous indicates means place of origin and evolution which actually doesn't apply to Maori they migrated to new zealand like the English but earlier and the maori was here before them also there is significant information that there were other people here before Maori as they actually state in their ancestry coming across the red headed giants Celts and the little people pigmies so to call Maori indigenous is in direct conflict with the terminology of the actual word unless you are able to prove Maori evolved in new zealand they shouldn't be termed as indigenous ~2026-40279-48 (*talk*) 00:11, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

Wikipedia articles are based on published reliable sources, and not on the unsourced ramblings of random contributors. AndyTheGrump (*talk*) 00:30, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

@~2026-40279-48 Considering all anatomically modern humans evolved in Africa and spread out from there, the term "indigenous" is inaccurate in every case if that's your standard. The term "indigenous" is in all cases essentially based on an arbitrary time cutoff, or at best because a certain group were the first anatomically modern humans to settle an area.

But, for example, western Europe was once home to a range of Celtic cultures related to the Welsh, Bretons etc, who were conquered and supplanted by the Germanic peoples. I don't think that would cause anyone to say, however, that the Germans are not indigenous to Germany; and that replacement happened far more recently in history (after the time of the Roman Empire)

And this is all of course not even mentioning that the idea that the Moriori settled Aotearoa before the Maori is largely disproven. See Pre-Māori settlement of New Zealand theories.

Anthropologists are generally of the belief that the Maori (or rather, their Polynesian ancestors) were indeed the first settlers of the region. Athanelar (*talk*) 05:01, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Aren't the Suzuki Boulevard C109R and the Suzuki Boulevard M109R really the same bike?

Latest comment: 19 hours ago

| 2 comments

| 2 people in discussion

- Suzuki Boulevard C109R
- Suzuki Boulevard M109R

I think someone should merge these two pages, but I can't be bothered. Also, as far as I can tell from the AutoScout24 classified advertising site, this bike is primarily known as the VZR1800 in Europe.

~2026-40307-17 (*talk*) 01:24, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

If you have reliable proof that they're different names for the same thing, then it would be very helpful if you say where the proof can be found; that way, the change might be made a lot sooner.

If you don't know where reliable proof is, then this could easily get ignored. [TooManyFingers](#) ([he/him](#) · [talk](#)) 01:36, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## mutliple = multiple in Elon Musk article

Latest comment: [4 minutes ago](#)

[11 comments](#)

[5 people in discussion](#)

It is semi protected & no capability that i can find to call attention to it on that talk page needing to be corrected. Several instances. [~2026-32542-29](#) ([talk](#)) 09:28, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

Fixed ([https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Elon\\_Musk&diff=prev&oldid=1364753904](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Elon_Musk&diff=prev&oldid=1364753904)). In this type of situation, you can make an [edit request](#) on the talk page. [♦IANMacM♦](#) ([talk to me](#)) 09:34, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

I believe that may not be an option for new or unregistered editors. That option is absent from the article talk page or not as readily displayed as in other locked articles. [~2026-32542-29](#) ([talk](#)) 10:23, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

You can make edit requests as a new or unregistered user (would defeat the whole point if you couldn't). [jolielover♥](#) [talk](#) 11:07, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

[@~2026-32542-29](#): There is an edit request wizard that is linked from the information page [lanmacm](#) provided. —[Tenryuu](#) □ ( □ • □ ) 14:05, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

Again my point. What you say is not possible unless I go to another part of WP that i am expected to know about instead of as with many other locked article pages it is right on the article talk page. Its absolute arrogance in action you anyone in WP to expect to navigate thru WP with ease if you have unstated variances. Understand not everyone involved with WP is as invested in all the capabilities of WP and its nuances as the most knowledgeable WP participant. I understand this response to what has been said may not be welcomed but the fact remains if someone encounters an unexplained variance where in other situations what is usual is missing. When i explored the talk page i was directed by the way i navigated the age it was necessary to not be a new user or register an account in order to leave an edit request. Now i have never said i am a WP expert and if there are limitations at whatever stage of use it should be the policy of WP at that page to explain what can be done. As I said what i found is not what is being presented as the solution. The link was after the fact instead of current time when i encountered the brick wall. What is being presented is a rather elitist approach despite WP being presented as an every persons effort at participating. Having heard about others experiences with WP I know that may be unwelcomed but then not everything in life have been thot out. Again what i said earlier in the limited experience I have had with WP when an article is locked for whatever reason when you go to the talk page there is on it a direct means of submitting an edit request. I did not find what i have found with others and what i did find required me to move to a step i find less than what i think is necessary to participate. If the mechanism exists on that talk page I could not reasonably find it based on my prior experience in WP. Thats a problem. Those experienced with WP might think that an unreasonable courtesy. I wouldnt. Maybe we are not looking at the same picture?

[~2026-32542-29](#) ([talk](#)) 16:49, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

(edit conflict) @~2026-32542-29: Talk:Elon Musk is semi-protected. In this rare situation you can post to [Wikipedia:Requests for page protection/Edit](#). PrimeHunter (talk) 16:51, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

Ah... trout me, then. Yes, quite rare for talk pages to be semi-protected too. [jolielover♥ talk](#) 17:18, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

Yes I can if, i know. I did not and the process did not make that known without previous experience. That is what seems to be lost by those in a position of influencing what is done. Elitism in the WP world is instruction after the fact especially when in other instances a means to the purpose is readily found at the point of interaction. Having to go elsewhere especially after the fact has its problems when you try to protect a reputation. Let it be clear nothing will change this experience because it has happened and if i encounter it in the future I will have to rely on finding that link because i sometimes have difficulty with navigating WP. Others that are far more invested in it do not. Its a point to understand.~2026-32542-29 (talk) 17:19, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

This comment was posted before i had an opportunity to see the "T" comment. This is getting more contentious than what is purposeful. Maybe WP should have a section on variances? For those that may lack the ability that is facetious. I do not mind my hand being slapped for a purpose but i am not a punching bag. We all at some point go through an experience we learn what we acted on was incomplete. In a situation where one is not face to face it can be far more important when explaining.~2026-32542-29 (talk) 17:27, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

I'm sorry for failing to notice that Talk:Elon Musk is currently semi-protected. This does complicate things if an unregistered or new user wants to make an edit request, but as stated above you can do this at [Wikipedia:Requests for page protection/Edit](#). Maybe Talk:Elon Musk and similar protected talk pages could make this clearer.--[♦IANMacM♦](#) *(talk to me)* 21:24, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

---

## Bruce springsteen

Latest comment: [4 hours ago](#) | [3 comments](#) | [3 people in discussion](#)

So some got kicked off of Wikipedia for calling Bruce a socialist?? ~2026-40170-91 (talk) 14:44, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

You're going to have to give a little more detail as to what exactly you are referring to. [331dot](#) (talk) 14:49, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

If by kicked off you mean blocked, then it could be possible if they're deemed as being WP:NOTHERE. Irrelevant commentary & insults are not allowed here; if Springsteen's political ideologies were relevant in the discussion, then sure, but if it's a one-off irrelevant comment intended as an insult, then definitely not allowed. And yes, please specify what exactly you're talking about (and what your goal is with this discussion), because we can't help you otherwise. [jolielover♥ talk](#) 17:21, 18 July 2026 (UTC)

---

# Problem Fixing Citation at Matlab Article

Latest comment: [2 hours ago](#)

| [2 comments](#)

| [2 people in discussion](#)

The [2nd entry in references for Matlab](#) has a problem with "title". However, it is based on Wikidata and is inside the infobox of the article. How can that be repaired. [Starlighsky \(talk\)](#) [18:49, 18 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

[@Starlighsky](#): I have added a title at Wikidata.<sup>[7]</sup> (<https://www.wikidata.org/w/index.php?title=Q169478&diff=2519255777&oldid=2517995713>) You can get there by clicking the pencil icon next to the reference in the infobox. [PrimeHunter \(talk\)](#) [19:13, 18 July 2026 \(UTC\)](#)

---

Retrieved from "[https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Help\\_desk&oldid=1364845347](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Wikipedia:Help_desk&oldid=1364845347)"